

## **REMARKS/CONCLUSION**

### **Requirement to Restrict**

In the Office action of August 25, 2005, the Examiner identified three species of the invention and identified those species with respect to the drawing figures. Those species and drawing figures were as follows:

Species I	Claims that read upon Figures 7 and 8
Species II	Claims that read upon Figure 9
Species III	Claims that read upon Figures 10 and 11.

The Examiner further required that the Applicant identify those claims correlated to the elected species drawing figures.

### **Species Election by Applicant**

Applicant hereby elects Species I identified in the Requirement to Restrict under 35 U.S.C. § 121. Applicant further identifies Claims 1-4, 7, 9-10, 12-15, 17, 19-20, 32, 34, and 36-37 as the claims which read upon the drawing figures correlated to Species I of the Requirement to Restrict. Applicant courteously requests the prosecution on the merits continue for the elected claims. The non-elected claims are canceled by this response.

### **Amendments to the Claims**

Claims 1-4, 7, 9-10, 12-15, 17, 19-20, 32, 34, and 36-37 remain in the application. Claims 5-6, 8, 11, 16, 18, 21-31, 33, 35, and 38 have been canceled. Claim 2 has been


amended to correct an error in antecedent basis of the first and second oscillator frequency limitations.

### **Conclusion**

Since the total number of claims has been reduced, no additional fees are presently due. In the event that the Examiner determines otherwise, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to debit Daniel R. Brown Deposit Account 501507 for the additional fee amounts.

Entry of the amendments and consideration by the Examiner is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Daniel R. Brown, Reg. No. 37,787

Tel.: 817-428-3335

P.O. Box 821130

Fort Worth, TX 76182

dan@danbrownlaw.com